nanni

Regioselective Synthesis of α,α -Difluorocyclopentanone Derivatives: Domino Nickel-Catalyzed Difluorocyclopropanation/Ring-Expansion Sequence of Silyl Dienol Ethers

Tatsuya Aono, Hisashi Sasagawa, Kohei Fuchibe, and Junji Ichikawa*

Division of Chemistry, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, [Ts](#page-3-0)ukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [Silyl dienol e](#page-2-0)thers prepared from α , β -unsaturated ketones underwent nickel-catalyzed difluorocyclopropanation of the electron-rich alkene moiety with trimethylsilyl 2,2-difluoro-2-(fluorosulfonyl)acetate. The subsequent vinylcyclopropane−cyclopentene rearrangement afforded silyl 5,5 difluorocyclopent-1-en-1-yl ethers in good yields. The

obtained five-membered silyl enol ethers were demonstrated to be versatile intermediates for the synthesis of di- and monofluorinated cyclopentanones and cyclopentenones. A nickel difluorocarbene complex is proposed as a key intermediate in the difluorocyclopropanation.

Cyclopentanones are an important structural motif found in
many natural and artificial compounds.¹ Since the
interduction of fluoring arbetituents is known to increase the introduction of fluorine substituents is known to increase the biological activities of the original molecules, λ the p[ha](#page-3-0)rmaceutical utilities of cyclopentanones with fluorine substituents at the position α to th[e](#page-3-0) carbonyl group have been taken into consideration (Figure 1). 3,4

To date, α , α -difluoro[cycl](#page-3-0)opentanone derivatives have been synthesized via two methods: double-electrophilic fluorination of $cyclopentanones⁵$ and deoxygenative fluorination of cyclopentanones followed by oxidation.^{3b} These strategies involve considerable eff[ort](#page-3-0) because they require the construction of the carbon skeleton and the introductio[n o](#page-3-0)f fluorine. We envisioned that the concise synthesis of α , α -difluorinated cyclopentanones would be facilitated by the combination of the difluorocyclopropanation of silyl dienol ethers and vinylcyclopropane− cyclopentene rearrangement (VCP rearrangement, Scheme 1).⁶ Silyl dienol ethers, which are prepared from α , β -unsaturated ketones, are subjected to difluorocyclopropanation. The re[su](#page-3-0)lting 1,1-difluoro-2-vinylcyclopropanes bearing a siloxy group undergo subsequent VCP rearrangement to afford silyl 5,5-difluorocyclopent-1-en-1-yl ethers (i.e., the domino synthesis of $α, α$ -difluorocyclopentanone derivatives).

Scheme 1. Strategy for the Domino Synthesis of α, α -Difluorocyclopentanone Derivatives

VCP rearrangements of fluorine-free vinylcyclopropanes, including siloxy-substituted ones, $\frac{7}{1}$ are typically conducted at high temperatures (300−550 °C).⁶ As an advantage, fluorine substitution allows the rearrange[me](#page-3-0)nt conditions to be benign and renders the C−C bond clea[va](#page-3-0)ge regioselective. Dolbier reported that 1,1-difluoro-2-vinylcyclopropanes underwent facile VCP rearrangement at 200−275 °C to selectively afford 3,3 difluorocyclopent-1-enes.⁸ Recently, Percy conducted the reaction of the difluorinated vinylcyclopropanes with an ester moiety [a](#page-3-0)t $100\,^{\circ}\text{C}$. These advantages of fluorine substitution in cyclopropane rings are ascribed to two primary reasons:¹⁰ (i) [in](#page-3-0)creased ring strain¹¹ and (ii) elongation of the C-C bond distal to the geminal fluorine substituents.¹² We expected that th[e V](#page-3-0)CP rearrangement of 2[-si](#page-3-0)loxy-substituted 1,1-difluoro-2-vinylcyclopropanes would readily proceed, p[ro](#page-3-0)viding the desired domino synthesis of α , α -difluorocyclopentanone derivatives.

The difluorocyclopropanation of silyl enol ethers is an issue in this strategy and needs to be addressed. In general, difluorocyclopropanations of alkenes have been extensively studied for decades using systems such as $CHCIF_2/KOH$,¹ $CCIF_2CO_2Na₁¹⁴$ or PhHgCF₃/NaI¹⁵ to generate free difluorocarbene;¹⁶ these methods are affected by strongly ba[sic](#page-3-0) conditions, hi[gh](#page-3-0) reaction temperat[ur](#page-3-0)e, and the need for toxic reagents, [r](#page-3-0)espectively. Although useful methods for the

Received: September 20, 2015 Published: November 17, 2015

generation of free difluorocarbene have been reported in the past few years,16b systems for the difluorocyclopropanation of silyl enol ethers are still limited,¹⁷ probably due to their instabilities.

Thus, [we](#page-3-0) investigated the difluorocyclopropanation of silyl enol ethers with trimethy[lsil](#page-3-0)yl 2,2-difluoro-2-(fluorosulfonyl) acetate $(TFDA)^{18}$ and found the first example of the metalcatalyzed difluorocyclopropanation of silyl enol ethers (Table 1,

Table 1. Catalyst Optimization^a

^aTFDA = FSO₂CF₂CO₂SiMe₃. TBS = Sit-BuMe₂. ^{b19}F NMR yield based on $(CF_3)_2C(C_6H_4p$ -Me)₂. Isolated yield is shown in parentheses. "Recovery."²Silyl enol ether 1a was completely consumed.

Figure 2. List of catalyst candidates (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl).

Figure 2). TFDA was originally designed to generate free difluorocarbene upon treatment with a fluoride ion. Silyl enol ether 1a was treated with TFDA (2 equiv) in the presence of sodium fluoride (5 mol %) at 100 °C (entry 1). The desired difluorocyclopropane 2a was obtained, although the yield was only 31% (19 F NMR), and a substantial amount of TFDA (0.62) equiv) remained unreacted. Since we previously reported the

NHC-catalyzed generation of free difluorocarbene,¹⁹ TFDA was treated with imidazolium, imidazolinium, or triazolium salts 3−5 (5 mol %) along with sodium carbonate (0.2 [equ](#page-3-0)iv) in the presence of silyl enol ether 1a to afford 2a in 53%, 56%, or 46% yield, respectively (entries 2−4). Further examination revealed that the use of a metal catalyst increased the yield of cyclopropane 2a. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)nickel, -palladium, and -platinum catalysts afforded 2a in 12−59% yields at 100 °C (entries 5−7). The use of electron-donating pincer-type NHC complexes of those metals further improved the yields (entries 8−13). In particular, nickel complex 6a was the most effective to prepare $2a$, resulting in 73% yield (entry 8).²⁰ This method was also successfully applied to other substrates. Sterically hindered or cyclic silyl enol ethers 1b,c affor[ded](#page-3-0) the corresponding products 2b,c in 63% and 78% yields, respectively (eqs 1 and 2).

To eliminate the possibility that the pincer-type NHC ligand served as a catalyst for the decomposition of TFDA, silyl enol ether 1a was treated with TFDA in the presence of bis(imidazolium) salt 9 (5 mol %) and sodium carbonate (0.2 equiv, entry 14). The product 2a was obtained in 45% yield, suggesting that the difluorocyclopropanation was more efficiently catalyzed by nickel. One reasonable mechanism for the metal-catalyzed difluorocyclopropanation is that the reaction proceeded via a nickel difluorocarbene complex, $\text{[LNi=}\text{CF}_{2}]^{2+}$ $(L =$ pincer-type NHC ligand), which was supported by HRMS analysis of its aminolysis product, $[LNi=C=N(2,6-dimethyl$ phenyl)]²⁺ (see the Supporting Information).^{21–23}

Importantly, there have been several reports on the preparation of transition-metal difluorocar[bene](#page-3-0) complexes, 24 whereas their synthetic applications are quite rare.²⁵ We propose that the difluorocyclopropanation proceeds through a transitio[n](#page-3-0)metal difluorocarbene complex, which is an [un](#page-3-0)precedented catalytic application of the difluorocarbene complexes in organic synthesis.

Having successfully carried out the nickel-catalyzed difluorocyclopropanation of silyl enol ethers, the domino difluorocyclopropanation/VCP rearrangement sequence was examined (Table 2). Silyl dienol ether 10a was treated with 2 equiv of TFDA in the presence of 5 mol % of nickel complex 6a in toluene [at 100](#page-2-0) °C (entry 1). Chemoselective cyclopropanation proceeded on the oxygenated electron-rich alkene moiety followed by the expected ring expansion, affording 11a in 61% yield along with vinylcyclopropane 12 in 16% yield. The vinylcyclopropane intermediate was completely converted to the desired cyclic silyl enol ether by conducting the reaction at 140 C^2 ^oC.²⁶ Upon treatment with 2 equiv of TFDA in the presence of 5 mol % of 6a at 140 °C, silyl dienol ether 10a afforded 11a in 83% yie[ld](#page-3-0) (entry 2). Silyl ethers 10b,c, which bear electron-rich and -deficient aryl groups $(R¹)$, smoothly underwent the domino process to afford the corresponding products 11b,c in 80% and

Table 2. Synthesis of Silyl 5,5-Difluorocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Ethers via Domino Difluorocyclopropanation/VCP $Rearrangement^a$

^aTBS = Sit-BuMe₂. ^b100 °C, 40 min. ^{c19}F NMR yield based on $(CF_3)_2C(C_6H_4p\text{-Me})_2$. ^dVinyl(difluoro)cyclopropane 12 was obtained in 16% yield. ^e20 mol % 6a, NaH (2 equiv), 100 °C. $f10i$ (*E:Z* = $34:66$). ^gSingle *trans* diastereomer. Siloxydiene 13 was obtained in 27% yield.

79% yields (entries 3 and 4), respectively. The reaction of alkylated substrate 10d also worked well to give the product 11d in 71% yield (entry 5). Substrates 10e−g, which bear substituents at the internal position (R^2) , similarly afforded the products 11e−g in 73−74% yields (entries 6−8). Silyl enol ether 10h, derived from cyclohexenyl methyl ketone, afforded the bicyclic silyl enol ether 11h in 60% yield (entry 9).²⁷ When the substrate 10i, bearing a methyl group as \mathbb{R}^3 , was employed, the corresponding product 11i was obtained in 54% yi[eld](#page-3-0) as a single trans diastereomer along with siloxydiene 13 (27%) as a 1,5 hydrogen shift product (entry 10). 8a,b,28 Thus, the VCP rearrangement of siloxy-substituted difluorovinycyclopropanes was successfully accomplished for the fi[rst tim](#page-3-0)e.

Cyclic silyl enol ethers 11 were transformed to demonstrate their utility for the synthesis of substituted α , α -difluorocyclopentanones. The hydrolysis of 11a under acidic conditions afforded α , α -difluorocyclopentanone 14 in 80% yield (Scheme 2); this ketone was unstable toward chromatographic purification (silica gel and basic alumina) and distillation. Treatment of 14 with tosylhydrazine afforded the corresponding hydrazone 15 in 74% yield (a). The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 15 confirmed that the difluoromethylene unit was introduced at the position adjacent to the carbonyl group (see the Supporting Information). Cyclopentanone 14 was also derivatized to the corresponding oxime 16 in 87% yield (based on 11a) with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in a one-pot operation (b). Treatment of cyclopentanone 14 with sodium borohydride afforded cyclopentanol 17 as a diastereomeric mixture in quantitative yield $(cis/trans = 64:36, c).^{29}$

Furthermore, the oxidative treatment of 11 afforded functionalized fluorine-containing cyclopentenones (Sche[me](#page-3-0) 3). Treatment of 11a with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) under highly

Scheme 2. Synthesis of α,α -Difluorocyclopentanone Derivatives

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Di- and Monofluorocyclopentenone **Derivatives**

diluted conditions (7 \times 10⁻⁴ mol/L) gave difluorinated cyclopentenone 18 in 86% yield (d) .³⁰ Oxidation of 11a with m -chloroperbenzoic acid $(mCPBA)$ gave the corresponding epoxide 19 in 85% yield as a diastereo[me](#page-3-0)ric mixture (78:22). Its desilylation with potassium hydrodifluoride led to the formation of 3-fluorinated 2-hydroxycyclopent-2-en-1-one 20 in 54% yield $(e).$ ³¹ The oxygenated cyclopentenone skeleton of 20 is found in cyclotene that is used as a food additive with a caramel-like flav[or.](#page-3-0)³²

In summary, the novel metal-catalyzed difluorocyclopropanation [of s](#page-3-0)ilyl enol ethers was accomplished using a pincer-type nickel complex. The sequential difluorocyclopropanation/VCP rearrangement of silyl dienol ethers provided synthetically useful derivatives of di- and monofluorinated cyclopentanones and cyclopentenones in good yields.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02726.

Experimental procedures and spectra of new compounds (PDF)

Organic Letters
■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: junji@chem.tsukuba.ac.jp.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by MEXT KAKENHI (Grant No. 24106705, J.I.), JSPS KAKENHI (Grant No. 15K05414, K.F.), and Asahi Glass Foundation (K.F.).

■ REFERENCES

(1) Trost, B. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1982, 11, 141. (b) Heasley, B. Curr. Org. Chem. 2014, 18, 641.

(2) (a) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004. (b) Uneyama, K. Organofluorine Chemistry; Blackwell: Oxford, 2006.

(3) (a) Iguchi, K.; Kaneta, S.; Tsune, C.; Yamada, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1173. (b) Meegalla, S. K.; Doller, D.; Liu, R.; Sha, D.; Lee, Y.; Soll, R. M.; Wisnewski, N.; Silver, G. M.; Dhanoa, D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 1702. (c) Fah, C.; Hardegger, L. A.; Baitsch, L.; Schweizer, W. B.; Meyer, S.; Bur, D.; Diederich, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 3947.

(4) For our previous work on fluorocyclopentenones, see: Ichikawa, J. Pure Appl. Chem. 2000, 72, 1685.

(5) (a) Nakano, T.; Makino, M.; Morizawa, Y.; Matsumura, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1019. (b) Pravst, I.; Zupan, M.; Stavber, S. Synthesis 2005, 2005, 3140. (c) Baudoux, J.; Cahard, D. Org. React. 2007, 69, 347.

(6) (a) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M. Y.; Tse, C. W.; Yip, Y. C.; Tanko, J.; Hudlicky, T. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165. (b) Baldwin, J. E. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1197. (c) Hudlicky, T.; Kutchan, T. M.; Naqvi, S. M. ́ Org. React. 1985, 33, 247.

(7) (a) Trost, B. M.; Bogdanowicz, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 289. (b) Girard, C.; Amice, P.; Barnier, J. P.; Conia, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 15, 3329. (c) Rousseau, G.; Slougui, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1251.

(8) (a) Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Sellers, S. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2494. (b) Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Sellers, S. F. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1. See also: (c) Mitsch, R. A.; Neuvar, E. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1966, 70, 546. (d) Smart, B. E.; Krusic, P. J.; Roe, D. C.; Yang, Z.-Y. J. Fluorine Chem. 2002, 117, 199.

(9) Orr, D.; Percy, J. M.; Tuttle, T.; Kennedy, A. R.; Harrison, Z. A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 14305.

(10) Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Battiste, M. A. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1071.

(11) Experimental and theoretical studies indicate that the strain energy of 1,1-difluorocyclopropane and the parent cyclopropane are 35.7−42.4 and 26.5−28.7 kcal/mol, respectively. See: (a) Liebman, J. F.; Greenberg, A. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 311. (b) Khoury, P. R.; Goddard, J. D.; Tam, W. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 8103.

(12) Experimental and theoretical studies indicate that the lengths of the C−C bonds distal and proximal to the geminal fluorine substituents in 1,1-difluorocyclopropane are 1.553 and 1.464 Å, respectively, while the length of the C−C bonds in the parent cyclopropane is 1.510 Å.

(13) (a) Miller, T. G.; Thanassi, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 2009. (b) Shen, T. Y.; Lucas, S.; Sarett, L. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1961, 2, 43.

(14) (a) Birchall, J. M.; Cross, G. W.; Haszeldine, R. N. Proc. Chem. Soc. 1960, 81. (b) Knox, L. H.; Velarde, E.; Berger, S.; Cuadriello, D.; Landis, P. W.; Cross, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1851.

(15) (a) Seyferth, D.; Hopper, S. P.; Darragh, K. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6536. (b) Seyferth, D.; Hopper, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 4070.

(16) (a) Brahms, D. L. S.; Dailey, W. P. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1585. (b) Ni, C.; Hu, J. Synthesis 2014, 46, 842.

(17) (a) Wu, S.-H.; Yu, Q. Acta Chim. Sin. 1989, 7, 253. (b) Oshiro, K.; Morimoto, Y.; Amii, H. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 2080. (c) Kosobokov, M. D.; Levin, V. V.; Struchkova, M. I.; Dilman, A. D. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 760. (d) Song, X.; Chang, J.; Zhu, D.; Li, J.; Xu, C.; Liu, Q.; Wang, M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1712.

(18) (a) Tian, F.; Kruger, V.; Bautista, O.; Duan, J.-X.; Li, A.-R.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Chen, Q.-Y. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 563. (b) Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Tian, F.; Duan, J.-X.; Li, A.-R.; Ait-Mohand, S.; Bautista, O.; Buathong, S.; Marshall Baker, J.; Crawford, J.; Anselme, P.; Cai, X. H.; Modzelewska, A.; Koroniak, H.; Battiste, M. A.; Chen, Q.-Y. J. Fluorine Chem. 2004, 125, 459.

(19) (a) Fuchibe, K.; Koseki, Y.; Sasagawa, H.; Ichikawa, J. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1189. (b) Fuchibe, K.; Koseki, Y.; Aono, T.; Sasagawa, H.; Ichikawa, J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2012, 133, 52. (c) Fuchibe, K.; Bando, M.; Takayama, R.; Ichikawa, J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2015, 171, 133.

(20) (a) Inamoto, K.; Kuroda, J.-i.; Hiroya, K.; Noda, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Sakamoto, T. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3095. (b) Inamoto, K.; Kuroda, J.-i.; Sakamoto, T.; Hiroya, K. Synthesis 2007, 2007, 2853.

(21) When 6b was treated with TFDA (1.2 equiv) in the presence of 2,6-dimethylaniline (10 equiv), the ion corresponding to the isonitrile complex was observed as a major product by HRMS analysis. See: Clark, G. R.; Hoskins, S. V.; Jones, T. C.; Roper, W. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 719. Free isonitrile was generated only in 4% yield (^1H) NMR analysis) when free difluorocarbene was generated in the presence of 2,6-dimethylaniline (10 equiv).

(22) We compared the reactivities of silyl (di)enol ethers, alkynes, and alkenes in the presence or absence of the metal complex. The observed differences in reactivity suggest that the active species of our metalcatalyzed system was not free carbene. See the Supporting Information.

(23) For the cyclopropanation of alkenes with nonfluorinated nickel(II) and nickel(0) carbene complexes, see: (a) Nakamura, A.; Yoshida, T.; Cowie, M.; Otsuka, S.; Ibers, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2108. (b) Davidson, J. G.; Barefield, E. K.; Derveer, D. G. V. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1178. (c) Künzi, S. A.; Toro, J. M. S.; Hartog, T.; Chen, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10670.

(24) For isolated difluorocarbene complexes, see: (a) Clark, G. R.; Hoskins, S. V.; Roper, W. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 234, C9. (b) Koola, J. D.; Roddick, D. M. Organometallics 1991, 10, 591. (c) Grushin, V. V.; Marshall, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3068. (d) Hughes, R. P.; Laritchev, R. B.; Yuan, J.; Golen, J. A.; Rucker, A. N.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15020. (e) Martínez-Salvador, S.; Menjón, B.; Forniés, J.; Martín, A.; Usón, I. Ángew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4286. (f) Huang, D.; Koren, P. R.; Folting, K.; Davidson, E. R.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8916. See also ref 21. For spectroscopically observed difluorocarbene complexes, see: (g) Reger, D. L.; Dukes, M. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 153, 67. (h) Richmond, T. G.; Crespi, A. M.; Shriver, D. F. Organometallics 1984, 3, 314.

(25) (a) Trnka, T. M.; Day, M. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3441. (b) Takahira, Y.; Morizawa, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7031.

(26) Although the nickel-catalyzed VCP rearrangement of vinylcyclopropanes was reported, the effect of nickel catalyst 6a was not obvious in our system. See: (a) Ryu, I.; Ikura, K.; Tamura, Y.; Maenaka, J.; Ogawa, A.; Sonoda, N. Synlett 1994, 1994, 941. (b) Wang, S. C.; Tantillo, D. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 4386.

(27) The VCP rearrangement of 10h in the absence of NaH resulted in partial decomposition of the vinylcyclopropane intermediate.

(28) (a) Ellis, R. J.; Frey, H. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 5578. (b) Roth, W. R.; König, J. Liebigs Ann. **1965**, 688, 28.

(29) Oxime formation (a) and sodium borohydride reduction (c) of ketone 14 in a one-pot operation gave poor results.

(30) Harrington, P. E.; Li, L.; Tius, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4025. (31) Harrington, P. E.; Murai, T.; Chu, C.; Tius, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10091.

(32) Naoshima, Y.; Yamaguchi, M.; Kawai, M.; Ichimoto, I.; Ueda, H. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1974, 38, 2273.